UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,905	01/11/2005	Kazuyuki Hasegawa	43890-714	4753
	7590 10/04/2007 TWILL & EMERY LLF		EXAM	INER
600 13TH STR	EET, N.W.		RAABE, CHRI	STOPHER M
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

`		TH				
a t	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/520,905	HASEGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher M. Raabe	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ODATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. Ny be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2005 is/	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con		•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ients have been received in App	plication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	oriority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
	•					
Attachment(s)	,, []					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/18/06,3/30/05,1/11/05</u> .	6) Other:	-				

Application/Control Number: 10/520,905

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Slooten (USPN 6229582).

With regard to claim 1,

Van Slooten discloses in at least figure 2 and column 2, lines 50-68 a plasma display panel (PDP) comprising: a dielectric layer (36) covering a scan electrode and a sustain electrode (29s) both formed on a plate (38); and a protective layer (21) formed on the dielectric layer (36), wherein the protective layer (21) includes silicon (Si) and nitrogen (N).

With regard to claim 3,

Van Slooten discloses in at least figure 2 and column 2, lines 50-68 a method of manufacturing a plasma display panel (PDP), the method comprising the steps of: forming a dielectric layer (36) to cover a scan electrode and a sustain electrode (29s) both formed on a plate (38); and forming a protective layer (21) on the dielectric layer (36), wherein the step of forming the protective layer (21) is a process for forming a film that uses material of the protective layer (21), which material includes silicon (Si) and nitrogen (N).

With regard to claim 6,

Van Slooten discloses, in at least figure 2 and column2, lines 50-68 a material of a protective layer of a plasma display panel, wherein the protective layer (21) is formed on a dielectric layer which covers a scan electrode and a sustain electrode (29s) both formed on a plate (38), wherein the material includes silicon (Si) and nitrogen (N).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 2,4,7,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Slooten (as above).

With regard to claim 2,

Art Unit: 2879

Van Slooten discloses the PDP as defined in claim 1, wherein the protective layer (21) is made of magnesium oxide (MgO) including Si and N. While Van Slooten does not disclose the concentration falling within the specific ranges claimed in claim 2, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation.

With regard to claim 4,

Van Slooten discloses the method of manufacturing a PDP as defined in claim 3, wherein the material of the protective layer (21) is made of magnesium oxide (MgO) including Si and N. While Van Slooten does not disclose the concentration of the Si and N to fall within the specific ranges claimed in claim 4, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation.

With regard to claim 5,

Van Slooten discloses the method of manufacturing a PDP as defined in claim 3, wherein the material of the protective layer (21) is made of magnesium oxide including silicon nitride. While Van Slooten does not disclose the concentration of silicon nitride to fall within the specific ranges claimed in claim 5, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation.

With regard to claim 7,

Art Unit: 2879

Van Slooten discloses the material as defined in claim 6, wherein the material of the protective layer (21) is made of magnesium oxide (MgO) including Si and N. While Van Slooten does not disclose the concentration of the Si and N to fall within the specific ranges claimed in claim 7, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation.

With regard to claim 8,

Van Slooten discloses the material as defined in claim 8, wherein the material of the protective layer (21) is made of magnesium oxide including silicon nitride. While Van Slooten does not disclose the concentration of silicon nitride to fall within the specific ranges claimed in claim 8, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 3976823, 6037713.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Raabe whose telephone number is 571-272-8434. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 7am-3:30pm.

Art Unit: 2879

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter Macchiarolo

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2879

OL